

# **Catalyzing Biomanufacturing:**

## **Government Strategies for Bridging Innovation and Commercialization**

Elliott Killian

January 19, 2025

## Abstract

Biomanufacturing—the application of biological systems and processes to produce materials, chemicals, and other goods—stands at the intersection of innovation, economic competitiveness, and national security. In this paper, I argue that government intervention can serve as a powerful catalyst for accelerating the biomanufacturing sector. Drawing on historical precedents such as U.S. Department of Defense-backed ventures and insights from *The Innovator's Dilemma*, I illustrate how the “valley of death” in technology commercialization can be bridged through risk-tolerant investment, direct government procurement, and strategic regulatory reforms. I further explore how open-source tools, standardization, and a methodical approach to both high- and low-regulation markets can ease market entry however should come secondary to establishing a return on investment to investors. Product categories are suggest where the US government could foster industry growth through procurement until mass adoption of the products by the public. Ultimately, I outline a roadmap for aligning diverse stakeholders—government agencies, venture capitalists, entrepreneurs, and end-users—to ensure that biomanufacturing matures into a thriving ecosystem capable of reshoring critical supply chains, driving economic growth, and addressing grand challenges in healthcare, defense, and beyond.

## Introduction

Biomanufacturing, the use of biological systems to produce materials and chemicals, is poised to revolutionize industries ranging from healthcare to advanced materials. However, the “valley of death”—the critical gap between proof-of-concept and commercialization—remains a major hurdle. Addressing this gap requires bold government action to catalyze investment, reduce risk, and create the conditions for widespread adoption.

The stakes are high. Biomanufacturing holds the promise of reshoring critical supply chains, reducing reliance on foreign suppliers, and addressing national security challenges. For example, domestically produced biomanufactured goods can provide the Department of Defense with a stable supply of advanced materials, pharmaceuticals, and other mission-critical resources, even during geopolitical disruptions.

At the same time, early adoption in consumer markets, such as probiotic supplements and biomanufactured textiles, can create a foundation for revenue generation and public acceptance. Policymakers must strategically balance support for high-regulation sectors like pharmaceuticals with opportunities in low-regulation markets to accelerate industry growth.

In this paper, I propose a roadmap to address these challenges. By leveraging historical lessons and modern policy tools—such as procurement contracts, tax incentives, and open-source platforms—I outline how the U.S. government can foster a resilient and innovative biomanufacturing ecosystem capable of transforming the economy and ensuring national security in the 21st century.

## Innovation and the Case for Biomanufacturing

Biomanufacturing lies at the intersection of technology, economics, and national security, presenting a transformative opportunity for the United States to bolster its economy, strengthen supply chain resilience, and address global challenges.

Technology is defined as an optimized way to accomplish a task, it becomes clear that optimization must align with specific contexts. For example, while a baseball hat and a cowboy hat both provide sun protection, each is designed for distinct environments and activities, emphasizing the need to tailor solutions to fit unique demands.

This contextual approach mirrors the principles outlined in *The Innovator's Dilemma* by Clayton M. Christensen, which argues that success comes from focusing on the specific jobs customers need done and prioritizing price over efficiency. The job the baseball player needs is to keep the sun out of their eyes. Christensen's insights suggest that lowering the cost of biomanufactured products, even at the expense of initial inefficiencies, can drive mass adoption. As products improve and scale, they unlock greater value for customers and society at large. Applying these principles to biomanufacturing emphasizes the importance of developing cost-competitive goods to accelerate market acceptance, spur innovation, and deliver long-term economic impact.

Beyond economic benefits, biomanufacturing addresses critical national security needs by enabling the United States to reshore vital supply chains. Recent crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic and disruptions caused by the Ukraine-Russia conflict, have exposed the vulnerabilities of relying on external and often volatile supply chains for essential materials. Biomanufacturing offers a solution by creating adaptive, locally scalable production systems capable of reducing dependence on foreign suppliers. This shift ensures the nation's readiness to respond to future crises, strengthens industrial resilience, and fosters domestic job creation while diversifying U.S. manufacturing capabilities.

The potential of biomanufacturing extends beyond economic and security benefits—it also serves as a key tool for addressing global challenges, from climate change to public health. Bio-based manufacturing processes can significantly reduce the environmental footprint of traditional production methods by using renewable feedstocks, transforming waste into valuable resources, and enabling sustainable production of chemicals, materials, and medicines. Additionally, biomanufacturing opens pathways for breakthrough therapies and advanced materials, positioning the United States as a global leader in innovation-driven sustainability.

However, unlocking the full potential of biomanufacturing requires overcoming the “valley of death,” the critical gap where promising innovations often fail due to insufficient capital, limited market readiness, and an underdeveloped ecosystem for commercialization. This is where government action becomes essential. Strategic policies and investments (ordered by priority) can bridge this chasm by:

1. Offering procurement contracts that guarantee initial demand for biomanufactured products.
2. Creating regulatory frameworks that enable rapid but safe market entry for novel products.
3. Funding R&D and scale-up efforts to de-risk private sector investments.
4. Establishing public-private partnerships to develop shared infrastructure and open-source tools.

By aligning government incentives with the needs of the biomanufacturing industry, policymakers can cultivate a thriving ecosystem where innovation flourishes and technologies move efficiently from the lab to the market. In doing so, biomanufacturing will not only strengthen the economy and enhance national security but also position the United States to tackle some of the most pressing challenges of the 21st century.

## The Importance of Risk and Return in Biomanufacturing

Biomanufacturing, like any emerging industry, requires a careful balance between risk and reward. The investment landscape provides key insights into how different financing models influence innovation.

Traditional lenders, such as banks, prioritize minimal risk and accept low-yield returns, favoring established enterprises over unproven technologies. Similarly, private equity firms seek moderate risk and mid-range returns, often relying on leveraging existing products or intellectual property to limit exposure. In contrast, venture capital (VC) embraces high-risk, high-reward opportunities, investing in early-stage technologies with the understanding that while many ventures may fail, a single breakthrough can generate outsized returns.

History has repeatedly demonstrated that transformative technologies are born under conditions of high uncertainty, backed by risk-tolerant capital. The semiconductor revolution serves as a prime example: government contracts for military and space applications provided crucial early funding, enabling researchers to pursue groundbreaking innovations. These investments not only drove exponential growth in computing power but also established the United States as a global leader in chip manufacturing. Similarly, drone technology benefited from a combination of public and private funding. Early government grants and military procurement contracts reduced the financial risks for private investors, fostering rapid advancements. Today, drones are used across diverse sectors, including agriculture, natural resource management, real estate, insurance, logistics, and photography—markets that emerged after initial government support laid the groundwork.

Biomanufacturing occupies a similar high-risk, high-reward space. Developing novel biological strains, scaling production processes, and navigating complex regulatory frameworks involve significant uncertainty and upfront costs. Yet the potential returns—reshoring critical supply chains, addressing environmental challenges, and creating cutting-edge products—are immense. For example, biomanufacturing could transform how the U.S. produces pharmaceuticals, sustainable materials, and advanced bio-based chemicals, reducing reliance on foreign suppliers and strengthening national security.

Government support is essential for de-risking biomanufacturing and accelerating its commercialization. Incentives such as grants, loan guarantees, and procurement programs can reduce the financial burden on private investors, signaling confidence in the industry’s long-term viability. This approach mirrors the successful strategies used in other high-tech sectors. For instance, targeted procurement of biomanufactured goods—such as sustainable textiles or bio-based adhesives—can create predictable demand, encouraging venture capital investment and enabling companies to scale.

Ultimately, the promise of a tangible return on investment is what drives innovation forward. Whether through commercial success or strategic national security gains, biomanufacturing must demonstrate a clear path to profitability to attract the capital needed to bridge the “valley of death.” By aligning risk-tolerant investments with structured government incentives, policymakers and industry stakeholders can unlock the transformative potential of biomanufacturing, ensuring the next technological revolution is fully realized.

## **The Role of Open Source and Standardization: A Premature Focus for Biomanufacturing**

Open-source technologies and standardization have undeniably driven innovation in established industries, such as software development and semiconductor manufacturing. By providing shared tools and platforms, these initiatives lower entry barriers, reduce development costs, and enable researchers and startups to build upon proven frameworks. In mature industries with well-developed ecosystems, open-source solutions and standardized practices can create efficiencies, foster collaboration, and drive large-scale adoption of new technologies.

However, for nascent industries like biomanufacturing, focusing on open-source technologies and standardization is premature and potentially counterproductive. These approaches thrive when a critical mass of users exists to adopt, refine, and expand shared resources. In biomanufacturing, where the user base and industry infrastructure are still developing, significant investments in standardization and open-source tools may fail to achieve meaningful impact. Tools that remain underutilized due to limited adoption do not generate the necessary returns on investment, creating a cycle of inefficiency and stagnation.

The key to accelerating the biomanufacturing industry lies not in prioritizing open-source platforms or standards but in establishing compelling returns on investment (ROI) for private investors. Private capital plays a vital role in developing early-stage technologies, fostering innovation, and scaling production. By demonstrating clear market opportunities and profitability, the industry can attract the financial support needed to drive growth and maturation. A thriving, profitable biomanufacturing sector will naturally lead to the development of standardized tools and practices as the industry scales and diversifies.

The comparison to frameworks like NASA's procurement-driven readiness levels highlights this distinction. NASA's success with standardization and readiness frameworks was built on decades of procurement that developed a mature aerospace industry. The space sector had already reached a level of technological and institutional maturity that justified investments in standardization and optimization. Biomanufacturing, in contrast, is still in its formative stages, requiring an emphasis on creating market value and achieving ROI before diverting resources to shared tools and platforms.

### **Lessons from the Video Game Industry: Standard Tools and Industry Maturity**

The video game industry offers a compelling case study for understanding how standard tools and platforms emerge in response to industry needs rather than as a starting point for growth. Today, tools like Unreal Engine, Unity, and Godot are widely recognized as standard development platforms. These engines provide essential frameworks for creating video games, lowering the barrier to entry for developers and enabling rapid iteration. However, the path to their widespread adoption illustrates the importance of industry maturity in fostering standardization.

Unreal Engine and Unity, the two most popular engines, were not government-driven initiatives or open-source solutions. Instead, they were born out of industry demand for efficient, scalable tools that addressed real challenges in game development. Epic Games created Unreal Engine to streamline the development of its own titles, later licensing it to other developers. Similarly, Unity was designed as an accessible platform for indie developers, filling a gap in the market for an affordable, user-friendly engine. Both engines have since grown alongside the industry, supported by a large user base and robust financial backing from private investment.

In contrast, Godot, an open-source game engine, has struggled to achieve the same level of popularity. While it has a dedicated community and notable advantages, such as no licensing fees, much of Godot's funding comes from niche sources, such as gambling and casino companies. These companies are often required by governmental regulations to disclose all code used in games of chance, creating a unique incentive to support open-source solutions. Despite these contributions, Godot's slower adoption compared to Unreal and Unity highlights a key challenge: open-source solutions are often less competitive in emerging industries where private investment prioritizes tools that address immediate commercial needs.

This dynamic underscores an important point for biomanufacturing: the emergence of standard tools and platforms is a natural byproduct of industry maturation. In its early stages, an industry must focus on addressing core challenges, achieving market viability, and generating returns on investment. As the user base grows and the ecosystem matures, demand for shared tools and standardized platforms will naturally follow, driven by industry players seeking to optimize workflows and reduce costs.

For biomanufacturing, this means that the development of standardized tools and open-source platforms should not be the immediate focus. Instead, resources should be directed toward fostering innovation, scaling production, and demonstrating profitability. The video game industry's experience illustrates that industry-driven solutions—rather than top-down initiatives—are more likely to create the tools necessary to sustain long-term growth.

By allowing biomanufacturing to reach a level of maturity where standardization becomes a necessity rather than an aspiration, the industry can ensure that its foundational tools are robust, widely adopted, and tailored to real-world needs. This approach will avoid premature investments in solutions that may lack relevance or adoption in the current stage of development, ensuring a stronger and more sustainable trajectory for the sector.

## Reframing the Focus for Biomanufacturing

Instead of prematurely investing in open-source and standardization efforts, the U.S. government and biomanufacturing stakeholders should focus on:

- **Building Demand Through Procurement:** Government procurement programs can create predictable demand for biomanufactured products, de-risking private investment.
- **Incentivizing Private Capital:** Tax credits, grants, and loan guarantees can attract venture capital and private equity to fund early-stage biomanufacturing projects.
- **Prioritizing Market Development:** Focusing on the development of commercially viable products with broad applications will build the user base necessary for standardization to emerge organically.
- **Encouraging Competitive Innovation:** Allowing companies to experiment with diverse approaches fosters a competitive environment, leading to breakthroughs that may later inform industry-wide standards.

Open-source tools and standardization will play a vital role in biomanufacturing's future, but they are most effective when applied to a maturing industry with a robust ecosystem. For now, the priority should be creating a foundation of market viability and investor confidence, ensuring that biomanufacturing reaches the scale and stability needed to benefit from shared resources and standardized practices.

## Strategic Models for Government Support

### Stimulating Private Investment through Public Initiatives

A compelling historical example of government-induced private investment dates back to the Cold War, when the U.S. Department of Defense funded the construction of the Hughes Glomar Explorer. Officially framed as a vessel for commercial deep-sea mining, the project's true purpose was to recover a sunken Soviet submarine. Ironically, the elaborate cover story triggered millions of dollars in private-sector investment in deep-sea mining, as entrepreneurs mistakenly believed they were witnessing the emergence of a lucrative new industry. This episode underscores a critical lesson: government actions, even when indirectly focused on fostering innovation, can send powerful signals that catalyze private investment by highlighting perceived opportunities. Private investors seeing real money being used in a new opportunity to see returns causes them to invest their own money.

Today, the U.S. government has the tools to more deliberately replicate such catalytic effects. Proven strategies like public grants, public-private partnerships, and specialized funding agencies (e.g., DARPA) offer a roadmap for stimulating investment in emerging sectors. By reducing early-stage financial risks and providing expert guidance, these mechanisms help private investors feel confident about supporting nascent technologies. This approach is particularly well-suited to biomanufacturing, where high research and development costs often deter private funding, even as the potential for transformative breakthroughs remains significant.

### Government as a Guaranteed Customer

A thriving industry relies on a dependable source of demand. Yet for many emerging sectors, including biomanufacturing, the “chicken-and-egg” problem persists: without customers, products cannot be developed; without products, customers have no reason to buy. The U.S. government can resolve this impasse by acting as a guaranteed buyer for biomanufactured goods, offering both immediate market validation and financial security.

For example, the Department of Defense could establish procurement programs or bounties for biomanufactured products. These programs might include volume purchase agreements or tiered contracts to incentivize production. Imagine a scenario where the government guarantees to purchase a set amount—such as 10,000 grams of a critical bio-based material—at a predetermined price from the first five companies to meet quality standards. This model would create a low-risk opportunity for private firms to innovate and bring products to market, knowing that a buyer exists.

Such arrangements de-risk private investment by demonstrating a clear revenue path. Startups in biomanufacturing could present guaranteed government contracts to their investors, providing assurance that successful product development will be met with actual sales. Over time, as production



scales and costs decrease, these products could transition to broader commercial and consumer markets. The drone industry provides a notable example: initially developed for defense purposes, drones later became affordable and versatile enough to enter mainstream applications like agriculture, logistics, and photography.

The government's role as a guaranteed customer also aligns with historical success stories. DARPA has long used milestone-driven contracts to spur innovation, fostering breakthroughs in technologies ranging from the internet to advanced robotics. Similarly, during the COVID-19 pandemic, federal vaccine procurement strategies showed how large-scale public-sector demand commitments can drive rapid development and production. By applying these proven models to biomanufacturing, the U.S. government can establish a robust ecosystem where innovation thrives, scaling critical products for both national security and public benefit.

## Enabling a Self-Sustaining Ecosystem

The dual approach of catalyzing private investment and acting as a guaranteed buyer ensures a holistic strategy for biomanufacturing's growth. Public initiatives provide the initial momentum needed to overcome high entry barriers, while procurement programs ensure demand stability. This synergy creates a self-sustaining ecosystem where private and public efforts reinforce one another, paving the way for biomanufactured goods to move from government-backed pilot projects to mass-market adoption. With thoughtful policy design and targeted investment, the U.S. government can transform biomanufacturing from a promising sector into a cornerstone of economic resilience and national security.

## Tangible Opportunities in Biomanufacturing

Biomanufacturing has the potential to revolutionize key sectors of the U.S. economy by addressing challenges in healthcare, sustainability, and national security. However, the path to commercialization varies significantly depending on the regulatory landscape of specific applications. High-regulation areas, such as new drugs and artificial organs, require rigorous oversight and significant investment, which can slow market entry. In contrast, low-regulation opportunities—such as probiotics, cosmetics, and consumer goods—offer faster, more accessible pathways, making them ideal for early-stage ventures to gain momentum.

### Advantages of Focusing on Low-Regulation Opportunities

1. **Faster Time to Market:** Low-regulation products like probiotics and cosmetics can reach consumers quickly, allowing companies to iterate and refine processes while generating early revenue.

2. **Attracting Investment:** Demonstrating success in accessible markets builds investor confidence and lays the foundation for tackling high-regulation challenges.
3. **Establishing Foundational Capabilities:** Early wins in low-regulation markets allow companies to develop supply chains, improve production technologies, and establish consumer trust—critical assets for future expansion into heavily regulated domains.

## Strategic Examples of Low-Regulation Opportunities

### 1. Probiotic Supplements

Probiotics that enhance digestion, nutrient absorption, or muscle recovery navigate less stringent regulatory frameworks than pharmaceuticals. Classified as dietary supplements, these products face fewer approval hurdles while addressing growing consumer demand for functional wellness solutions. Developing reliable production systems and distribution networks in this market builds public trust and demonstrates the viability of biomanufactured products.

### 2. Biomanufactured Cosmetics and Skincare

Products such as sustainable sunblock, anti-aging serums, and camouflage face paint offer lucrative opportunities with lighter regulatory scrutiny compared to drugs. Innovations like bio-based UV filters or skin-friendly biopolymers align with growing demand for environmentally friendly, sustainable solutions. Additionally, government support—such as military procurement for camouflage face paint—could accelerate scaling efforts, bridging commercial success with defense applications.

### 3. Consumer Goods and Textiles

Everyday products like bio-based adhesives, paper, and textiles present broad appeal with minimal regulatory barriers. These essential commodities can be manufactured from renewable feedstocks, addressing sustainability concerns while maintaining profitability. Success in these markets can mimic the trajectory of drone technology, which transitioned from military applications to widespread consumer adoption as production scaled and costs declined.

## Critical National Needs

Biomanufacturing is uniquely positioned to address the United States' pressing challenges in healthcare, defense, and infrastructure. Strategic government support can help bridge the gap between potential and realization, enabling biomanufactured solutions to meet these urgent demands.

### New Drugs and Therapies

From combating antibiotic-resistant pathogens to preparing for future pandemics, biomanufacturing can streamline drug production and develop life-saving therapies. However, the complexity and high

costs of drug discovery and approval often deter smaller firms.

To encourage innovation, the U.S. government can:

- **Expedite Review Pathways:** Accelerate regulatory approval for biomanufactured drugs targeting national health priorities.
- **Provide Mission-Driven Grants:** Fund early-stage research in areas like pandemic preparedness and rare diseases.
- **Promote Public-Private Partnerships:** Facilitate collaborations between federal agencies, academia, and industry to reduce barriers to market entry.

### Artificial Organs

Organ shortages remain a critical national concern. Biomanufacturing offers the potential to create bioengineered or synthetic organs, reducing wait times and improving patient outcomes. However, stringent regulatory oversight and high R&D costs pose challenges.

The government can accelerate progress by:

- **Establishing Federal Testbeds:** Provide controlled environments for testing and validating biomanufactured organs.
- **Expanding R&D Funding:** Support bioengineering innovations with scalable organ production technologies.
- **Streamlining Regulatory Frameworks:** Develop specialized pathways for artificial organs based on regenerative medicine initiatives.

### Advanced Materials for Defense and Infrastructure

Biomanufacturing can deliver advanced materials with unique properties, such as lightweight composites, biodegradable plastics, and specialized adhesives. These materials offer significant advantages for military readiness and infrastructure resilience.

To capitalize on these opportunities, the government can:

- **Simplify Performance Verification:** Streamline testing and approval for biomanufactured materials while maintaining safety and reliability standards.
- **Leverage Procurement Commitments:** Use federal purchasing power to create demand for bio-based materials.
- **Foster R&D Collaborations:** Partner with industry and research institutions to develop next-generation materials for defense and infrastructure.

## **Building a Foundation for Biomanufacturing**

Focusing on low-regulation applications provides a strategic pathway for early-stage biomanufacturing ventures to establish credibility and financial stability. These products:

- Enable rapid market entry and iteration.
- Build consumer trust in biomanufactured goods.
- Demonstrate profitability, attracting private and public investment.
- Lay the groundwork for scaling into higher-regulation fields.

By prioritizing these accessible applications, biomanufacturing companies can gain the momentum needed to grow into a mature, competitive industry while advancing sustainability and innovation across multiple sectors. This approach also aligns with government objectives to support emerging technologies that strengthen domestic supply chains and promote environmental resilience, making it a win-win strategy for both private industry and public policy.

## **From the Battlefield to the Home: A Strategic Pathway for Biomanufacturing**

Products that can seamlessly transition from government or defense applications to public markets with minimal regulatory barriers should be prioritized for U.S. government support through bounties, procurement contracts, and other incentives. These low-regulation opportunities offer the advantage of rapid commercialization, reducing the need for sustained governmental intervention while driving early market successes. For example, data on existing products—such as market size, profit margins, and consumer demand—can guide decision-making, identifying the most promising candidates for accelerated disruption by biomanufacturing.

Strategically, this approach not only enables near-term wins but also supports the broader development of the biomanufacturing sector. By fostering high-regulation innovations through targeted funding, streamlined regulatory frameworks, and policy shifts, the government ensures that critical long-term goals—such as advancing new drugs, artificial organs, and advanced materials—remain a priority. Simultaneously, active cultivation of low-regulation product lines builds immediate momentum, generating public trust and attracting private investment.

This dual approach—supporting high-impact, long-term innovations while enabling near-term commercial successes—creates a robust feedback loop. Early wins in low-regulation markets demonstrate the viability and profitability of biomanufacturing, boosting investor confidence. Meanwhile, the success of high-regulation projects reinforces the industry's potential to tackle complex challenges, positioning the U.S. as a global leader in biomanufacturing innovation.

By aligning governmental initiatives with market needs, the U.S. can accelerate the growth of a resilient, competitive biomanufacturing ecosystem. This strategy not only addresses national security and economic imperatives but also lays the foundation for a sustainable, innovation-driven future.

## Conclusion

Biomanufacturing stands at the intersection of scientific innovation, economic opportunity, and national security. It offers transformative potential to reshape industries, address critical challenges, and enhance resilience in the face of global uncertainty. By applying lessons from *The Innovator's Dilemma*—such as prioritizing accessibility and lowering costs—it becomes evident that even early-stage, less efficient products can pave the way for mass adoption and long-term industry growth. However, achieving this vision depends on a cohesive, multi-faceted strategy.

### Key Pillars for Advancing Biomanufacturing

- 1. Government as a Catalyst:** The U.S. government plays a pivotal role in bridging the “valley of death” that often derails promising biomanufacturing technologies. By acting as both a guaranteed customer and a strategic enabler of public-private partnerships, the government can signal demand and de-risk investments. Tools such as bounties, tiered purchase agreements, and procurement guarantees address the chicken-and-egg problem, empowering innovators to bring products to market while attracting private capital to scale those innovations.
- 2. Aligning Risk and Reward:** The risk-return dynamic is central to the growth of biomanufacturing. With high-risk, high-reward opportunities—such as new drug development and artificial organs—venture capital is critical. However, securing private investment requires demonstrating robust potential returns. Public funding, favorable policy measures, and de-risking mechanisms are essential to mobilizing capital for these transformative, yet inherently uncertain, endeavors.
- 3. Strategic Use of Open Source and Standardization:** While open-source platforms and standardization can accelerate innovation, their success depends on achieving a critical mass of adopters. Government initiatives, including grants, collaboration hubs, and targeted policy support, can help foster this community and prevent valuable tools from being underutilized. As biomanufacturing matures, these frameworks will ensure the industry remains efficient, collaborative, and competitive.
- 4. Segmented Regulatory Strategies:** The regulatory landscape heavily influences the pace of market entry for biomanufactured products. Policymakers should prioritize low-regulation opportunities, such as probiotic supplements, cosmetics, and consumer goods, to drive near-term commercialization and build public trust. Simultaneously, high-impact areas like

Catalyzing Biomanufacturing:  
Government Strategies for Bridging Innovation and Commercialization  
Elliott Killian  
[elliottkillian.com](http://elliottkillian.com)  
January 19, 2025

pharmaceuticals and artificial organs require coordinated policy reforms and sustained financial and logistical support to overcome the significant hurdles inherent in these fields.